

CMT

Older Persons' Accommodation gateway review briefing

KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Achievements

Ach1	The programme is making very good progress in
	meeting its outcomes.
Ach2	Significant achievements have been made in terms of
	the pace of the programme and asset disposal
	projects.
Ach3	Good feedback from service users on the outcomes
	and outputs of the projects.
Ach4	Evidence supports that there has been no detrimental
	effect of managing people from closed homes to new
	homes.

Risks

Rsk	Construction risks	Recommendation	Progress
1	in terms of supplier	1	
	management, cost		Project teams
	and delivery have	Major Project PMs	and virtual
	surfaced on the	to engage,	project teams
	projects and are	individually on	have been set
	being addressed	projects and	out for each of
	when they arise.	through the Major	the OPAP
	Though this is	Project Interface	projects, to be
	affecting the	Group, with	shared with
	business case of	Procurement,	the Housing
	some of the	Legal, Health and	Delivery
	delivery projects it	Safety and Finance	Working
	is important to	to ensure that Risks	group.
	keep in mind that	associated with	Head of
	this is normal for	construction are	programme

PIG, oup,
-
-
-
oport
e
ЪЕ
ard.
ned
with
ces
;
on
or
d to
C
of
of
e
g.
y.
dati
aut
ent
hat
can
out
tion.

done with Corporate performance team.	
OPA Programme Manager to engage with corporate performance and look at methods for tracking project benefits.	

Issues

Issues			
	Balancing the	Recommendation 4	Progress
Iss2	construction project with the business change/ readiness element and ensuring that there is equal focus on both has been a challenge. The projects are at risk of not realising their benefits without a change in the systems and culture and ASC in order to ensure the optimal placements are made. The services need to be ready when the projects are complete.	The Director of HHASC to assess with the HHASC DMT to need in terms of business transformation and project alignment and put in place a plan (with benefits, costs, etc) to seek to develop. Director of HHASC	Review of extra care housing model underway. This has included staff from across the directorate. Cultural differences and conflicting priorities are still affecting service delivery. A recommendation from this review is due in early July. This will be used to inform the model for future extra care schemes.

Lesso	ons		
LI1	Using appropriate	Recommendation 5	PMs are in
	external support on projects and	For each Major	the process of reviewing
	programmes,	project ensure that	the project
	whether this is as	there is sufficient	structures to
	PM, advisor or	internal capacity to	ensure
	supplier, is key to	manage areas where	sufficient
	their successful	external contractors	capacity is in
	delivery. It is	are used and ensure	place.
	important that	that there are	
	engagement with	appropriate	OPA
	the external support	performance	programme
	is consistent with	management	Manager is
	the overarching	processes in place.	currently in
	delivery objectives		process of
	of the project, that	CMT to review	assessing
	they are	existing	PMO
	performance	arrangements	requirements
	managed and that	across Major	(inc. Quality
	the assignment will	Projects and	management
	add value to the	ensure future	processes)
	project (experience,	projects have a	,
	expertise,	robust approach.	
	connections, etc).	••	
LI2	It's not clear if outstanding risks	Recommendation 6	Progress
	that emerge from	Risks that emerge	This is
	the disposal	from all the projects	ongoing as
	projects are being	that are closed need	projects are
	picked up in the	to be assigned a	completed.
	commissioning	place in the	The
	projects.	commissioning	programme
		projects or to a	is working
		service area to	well with
		manage.	property
		Ŭ	colleagues.
		OPA Programme	Discussions
		Manager to ensure	over the
		risks are	future of the
		appropriately	Oakhaven
		transferred.	site and how

forward. Building issues at Glen Lodge transferred to building maintenance operational				this is taken
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 clear clear in the process consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions tab in the Programme RAIDProgress estilLi3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were 				forward.
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 clear in the process Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions tab in the Programme RAIDGlen Lodge transferred tr building maintenance operational issues OPAI still involvedLI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions tab in the Programme RAIDProgress				Building
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 clear corporate Project Programme RAIDProgress value transferred to building maintenance operational issues OPAR still involvedL13It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively. Team				issues at
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 clear corporate Project Programme RAIDProgress value transferred to building maintenance operational issues OPAR still involvedL13It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively. Team				Glen Lodge
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions tab in the Programme RAIDProgress operational issues OPAR Ongoing. Verto now being used more				transferred to
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised.Recommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions tab in the profile changesOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively.				building
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisionsRecommendation 7 corporate ProjectProgressConsistent with the Corporate ProjectOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively.Kerommendation 7 decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively. Housing Delivery team				maintenance,
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisionsRecommendation 7 Consistent with the Corporate ProjectProgressConsistent with the decisions were made and how these decisionsConsistent with the Corporate ProjectOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively.Kere scrutinised. Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesFramework, all Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDDelivery team				operational
LI3It was not always clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisionsRecommendation 7ProgressConsistent with the decisions were made and how these decisionsConsistent with the Corporate ProjectOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively.Kernel How the project risk profile changesRecommendation 7ProgressLi3It was not always clear in the process decisions were made and how these decisions were scrutinised.Consistent with the Corporate Project Management Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDOngoing. Verto now being used more extensively.				issues OPAP
clear in the process how project level decisions were made and how these decisionsConsistent with the Corporate ProjectOngoing. Verto now being used moreManagement these decisions were scrutinised.Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDOngoing. Verto now being used more				still involved
how project level decisions were made and how these decisionsConsistent with the Corporate ProjectOngoing. Verto now being used moreManagement these decisionsManagement Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the how the project risk profile changesOngoing. Verto now being used more	LI3	-	Recommendation 7	Progress
decisions were made and how these decisionsCorporate Project Management Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the how the project risk profile changesVerto now being used more decisions should be recorded in the Decisions tab in the Programme RAID		•	Consistent with the	Ongoing
made and how these decisionsManagement Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the how the project risk profile changesbeing used more extensively.Management Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the Programme RAIDbeing used more extensively.				
these decisions were scrutinised.Framework, all decisions should be recorded in the Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDmore extensively.how the project risk profile changesDecisions tab in the Programme RAIDDelivery team				
were scrutinised.decisions should be recorded in theextensively.Also, it not clearrecorded in theHousinghow the project risk profile changesDecisions tab in the Programme RAIDDelivery team				•
Also, it not clear how the project risk profile changesrecorded in the Decisions tab in the Programme RAIDHousing Delivery team				
how the project risk profile changesDecisions tab in the Programme RAIDDelivery team				
profile changes Programme RAID team				•
				-
			•	
these decisions there is a clear governance			•	•••
were made. narrative in the log to and				_
tie risks, actions, compliance			•	compliance
This may have issues and decisions officer to		This may have		•
manifested as together . This log is ensure		5		ensure
opportunities that then available for consistency		opportunities that	• •	consistency
were taken or day board members to of recording			board members to	•
to day project review. and		to day project	review.	•
business. reporting.				reporting.
As each project All projects t			As each project	All projects to
				be reviewed
Gateway in future a at Gateway			Gateway in future a	at Gateway
Gateway review stages.			Gateway review	stages.
needs to be				_
conducted to ensure			conducted to ensure	
that the decision			that the decision	
making is sound.			making is sound.	
OPA Programme			OPA Programme	
Manager to ensure				
that each decision			-	

is positioned at the right level (consistent with the Council's constitution and the Terms of reference for the OPA programme), the Programme
•
RAID log is
populated and up
to date. OPA
Programme
Manager to ensure
that a Gateway
review is held when
each project in the
programme passes
through a Gateway.